

1
2
3
4
5

6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

7
8
9

10 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11

COYNES L. ENNIX, JR., M.D.,

No. C 07-02486 WHA

12

Plaintiff,

13

v.

**ORDER ALTERING THE
BRIEFING AND HEARING
DATES RELATING TO
DEFENDANTS' SPECIAL
MOTION TO STRIKE**

14

RUSSELL D. STANTEN, M.D., et al.,

Defendants.

15

16

After consideration of the parties' respective briefs on plaintiff's motion to alter the briefing schedule, the following schedule is set. Plaintiff's opposition will be filed by **JULY 12, 2007**. Defendants' reply will be filed by **JULY 19, 2007**. The hearing on the motion and the case management conference will be held at **8:00 A.M.** on **AUGUST 16, 2007**. Please file a joint case management statement seven days before the conference. All initial deadlines are extended accordingly.

22

All counsel are reminded that under *Metabolife International, Inc. v. Wornick*, 264 F.3d 832, 845–46 (9th Cir. 2001), the discovery-limiting aspects of California's anti-SLAPP statute *do not* apply in federal court. While relying on California Code of Civil Procedure Section 425.16(g) in their opposition, defendants' counsel should have cited this directly on-point and controlling authority.

27

IT IS SO ORDERED.

28

Dated: June 7, 2007.

Wm. Alsup

WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE